arXiv:0912.3117v1 [math.PR] 16 Dec 2009

Estimates for moments of supremum of reflected fractional Brownian motion

Krzysztof Dębicki[*], Agata Tomanek

Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

Abstract

Let $B_H(\cdot)$ be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1]$. Motivated by applications to maximal inequalities for fractional Brownian motion, in this note we derive bounds for

$$K_T(H,\gamma) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |B_H(t)|\right]^{\gamma},$$

with $\gamma, T > 0$.

Key words: fractional Brownia motion, maximal inequalities, supremum.
AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary 60G15, Secondary 60G70, 68M20.

1 Introduction

In this note we study properties of

$$K_T(H,\gamma) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |B_H(t)|\right]^{\gamma} \tag{1}$$

for $\gamma, T > 0$, where $B_H(\cdot)$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1]$, i.e., a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance function $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(B_H(t)) = t^{2H}$.
Constants $K_T(H,\gamma)$ appear in the context of analyzing maximal inequalities for fractional Brownian motion; see e.g. [5]. The aim of this paper is to give bounds for $K_T(H,\gamma)$. To our best knowledge the exact values of $K_T(H,\gamma)$ are not known.

---

[*] This work was supported by MNiSW Research Grant N N201 394137 (2009-2011)

Let

$$\beta(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k(2k+1)^{-s},$$

$s > 0$, be the *Dirichlet beta function*. By $E_n(x)$, $n = 0, 1, ...$, we denote the Euler polynomials; $E_n := 2^n E_n(1/2)$, $n = 0, 1, ...$, stand for Euler numbers; see [1], page 804. Additionally, let $\Psi(t) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} > t)$ where $\mathcal{N} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

The use of technique based on comparison of Gaussian processes yields the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\gamma > 0$.*
(i) If $H < 1/2$, then

$$K_T(H,\gamma) \geq T^{\gamma H}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right).$$

(ii) If $H \geq 1/2$, then

$$T^{\gamma H}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right) \leq K_T(H,\gamma) \leq T^{\gamma H}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right).$$

In the following proposition we calculate exact value of $K_T(1/2, \gamma)$ and $K_T(1, \gamma)$.

Proposition 1.2 *Let $\gamma > 0$. Then*
(i) $K_T(1/2,\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)\beta(\gamma)T^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$;
(ii) $K_T(1,\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)T^{\gamma}$.

The detailed proofs of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 are deferred to Section 2. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.2, in view of [1], page 805, we have

$$K_1(1/2, 2n+1) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2}\right)^n\frac{n!}{(2n)!}|E_{2n}| \tag{2}$$

$$K_1(1/2, 2n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2}\right)^n\int_0^1 E_{2n-1}(x)sec(\pi x)dx,$$

for $n = 1, 2, ...$ In particular $K_1(1/2, 1) = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $K_{1/2}(1/2, 2)$ is the *Catalan's constant*.

The comparison of the upper bound for $K_1(1/2, 2n+1)$ given in Theorem 1.1 with (2) enables us to recover the known inequality for Euler numbers

$$|E_{2n}| \leq \frac{4^{n+1}(2n)!}{\pi^{2n+1}};$$

see, e.g., [1], page 805. We refer to [4] for other results that relate moments of functionals of Brownian motion with number theory.

2 Proofs

In this section we present complete proofs of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.1. We frequently use the fact that the property of self-similarity of fractional Brownian motion enables us to write

$$K_T(H,\gamma) = K_1(H,\gamma)T^{\gamma H}. \tag{3}$$

We start with an auxiliary result which is also of independent interest.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and continuous and strictly increasing variance function $\sigma_X^2(\cdot)$, $X(0) = 0$ a.s.*
(i) If $\sigma_X^2(\cdot)$ is concave, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(t)\right]^{\gamma} \geq \left(\sigma_X^2(T)\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right);$$

(ii) If $\sigma_X^2(\cdot)$ is convex, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(t)\right]^{\gamma} \leq \left(\sigma_X^2(T)\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right).$$

Proof Since the proof of (ii) is analogous to the proof of (i), we focus on the argument that justifies (i). Assume that $\sigma_X^2(\cdot)$ is concave. Observe that for $Y(t) := B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(t)\right)$ we have

$$\mathbb{V}ar\left(Y(t)\right) = \mathbb{V}ar\left(B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(t)\right)\right) = \sigma_X^2(t) = \mathbb{V}ar(X(t))$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and, due to concavity of $\sigma_X^2(\cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}ar\left(Y(t) - Y(s)\right) &= \mathbb{V}ar\left(B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(t)\right) - B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(s)\right)\right) \\
&= \sigma_X^2(t) - \sigma_X^2(s) \\
&\leq \sigma_X^2(t-s) \\
&= \mathbb{V}ar(X(t) - X(s))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t > s$ and $s,t \in [0,T]$. Thus, using Slepian inequality (see, e.g., Theorem 2.1 in Adler [2]),

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(t) > x\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma_X^2(t)) > x\right)$$

for all $x \geq 0$. Since $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(t)\right) > x\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in\left[0,\sigma_X^2(T)\right]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) > x\right)$, then we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(t)\right]^{\gamma} \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in\left[0,\sigma_X^2(T)\right]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right]^{\gamma}.$$

Due to self-similarity of Brownian motion we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in\left[0,\sigma_X^2(T)\right]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right]^{\gamma} &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma_X^2(T)\,t\right)\right]^{\gamma} \\ &= \left(\sigma_X^2(T)\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right]^{\gamma}. \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using that $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) > t\right) = 2\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{N} > t\right)$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right]^{\gamma} = \int_0^{\infty} \gamma x^{\gamma-1} 2\Psi\left(x\right) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right). \qquad (5)$$

Combination of (4) with (5) completes the proof of (i). □

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Following (3) we consider only the case of $T = 1$. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_H(t) > x\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |B_H(t)| > x\right) \leq 2\,\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_H(t) > x\right).$$

The combination of the above with Lemma 2.1 completes the proof of (i) and the upper bound in (ii).

To prove the lower bound in (ii) we use that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |B_H(t)|\right]^{\gamma} \geq \mathbb{E}|B_H(1)|^{\gamma} = \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{N}|^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right).$$

This completes the proof.

□

2.2 Proof of Proposition 1.2

Ad (i). Assume that $H = \frac{1}{2}$. Following (3) it suffices to analyze $K_1(1/2, \gamma)$. Using formula 1.1.4 in [3], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(1/2,\gamma) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|\right]^{\gamma} \\
&= \gamma\int_0^\infty x^{\gamma-1}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|>x\right)dx \\
&= \gamma\int_0^\infty x^{\gamma-1}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{(-1)^k sign((2k+1)x)\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\frac{x|2k+1|}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty}e^{-s^2}ds\right\}dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n(x) &:= x^{\gamma-1}\sum_{k=-n-1}^{n}\left\{(-1)^k sign((2k+1)x)\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\frac{x|2k+1|}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty}e^{-s^2}ds\right\} \\
&= 2x^{\gamma-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\{(-1)^k\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\frac{x|2k+1|}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty}e^{-s^2}ds\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and observe that for each $n \geq 0$ and $x \in (0,1]$

$$0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f_0(x) \leq 2x^{\gamma-1}. \tag{7}$$

Additionally, for each $n \geq 0$ and $x > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_n(x)| &\leq x^{\gamma-1}4\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{x(2k+1)}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}}ds = 4x^{\gamma-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Psi(x(2k+1)) \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}x^{\gamma-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{x(2k+1)}e^{-\frac{x^2(2k+1)^2}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}x^{\gamma-2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(e^{-x^2}\right)^k \qquad (8) \\
&= \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}x^{\gamma-2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\frac{1}{1-e^{-x^2}}, \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

where (8) follows from the fact that $\Psi(t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t}\exp(-\frac{t^2}{2})$ for each $t \geq 0$. The combination of (7) with (9) implies that $|f_n(\cdot)|$ is bounded by an integrable function, and hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we can rewrite (6) in the following form

$$K_1\left(1/2,\gamma\right) \;=\; \gamma\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{(-1)^k \underbrace{\int_0^{\infty}\left\{x^{\gamma-1}\int_{\frac{x(2k+1)}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^2}ds\right\}dx}_{I_k}\right\}.$$

The change of the order of integration in I_k leads to

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2k+1}\right)^{\gamma}\int_0^{\infty} s^{\gamma}e^{-s^2}ds = \frac{1}{2\gamma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2k+1}\right)^{\gamma}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t}t^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2k+1}\right)^{\gamma}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$K_1\left(1/2,\gamma\right) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\left(\frac{1}{2k+1}\right)^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)\beta(\gamma).$$

This completes the proof of (i).

Ad (ii)**.** Let $H=1$. Since $B_1(t) =_{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}t$, where $\mathcal{N}\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, then $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}|B_1(t)|>x\right) = 2\Psi(x)$. Standard integration completes the proof. ☐

References

[1] Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series - 55, Washington, 1972.

[2] Adler, R.J. *An introduction to continuity, extrema, and related topics for general Gaussian processes* Inst. Math. Statist. Lecture Notes -Monograph Series, vol. 12, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA, 1990.

[3] Borodin, A.N., Salminen, P. *Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1996.

[4] DasGupta, A. (2002) Mellin transform and densities of suprema of brownian processes: with applications. Report 02-08, Department of Statistics, Purdue University, USA.

[5] Novikov, A., Valkeila, E. (1999) On some maximal inequalities for fractional Brownian motions. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **44**, 47–54.